IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 194 of 2006 (S/B)

1. Sheesh Ram Singh s/o Sri Ram Kumar Singh
at present working as Junior Engineer
in the Office of the Executive Engineer,
Project Division Uttaranchal Pey Jal Sansadhan
Vikas Evam Nirman Nigam, Kotdwar,
District Pauri.
2. Prakash Chandra Gautam s/o Sri Baliyan,
at present working as Junior Engineer
in the office of the Executive Engineer,
Construction Division Uttaranchal Pey Jal Sansadhan
Vikas Evam Nirman Nigam, Uttarkashi,
District Uttarkashi.

……. Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through Principal Secretary,
Rural and Urban Development,
Uttaranchal Sashan, Dehradun.
2. Uttaranchal Pey Jal Sansadhan Vikas Evam
Nirman Nigam through its Managing Director,
11 Mohini Marg, Dehradun.
3. Chief Engineer (Headquarter)
Uttaranchal Pey Jal Sansadhan
Vikas Evam Nirman Nigam,
11 Mohini Marg, Dehradun. …….. Respondents

……..

Sri M.C. Kandpal, Senior Counsel with Sri S.S. Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri Ashish Joshi, Brief Holder for respondent no. 1.
Sri Manish Kumar, Advocate on behalf of Sri D.S.Patni, Advocate for respondents 2 and 3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble J.C.S.Rawat, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri M.C. Kandpal, Senior Counsel with Sri S. S. Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri Ashish Joshi, Brief Holder for respondent no. 1.

Sri Manish Kumar, Advocate on behalf of Sri D. S. Patni, Advocate for respondents 2 and 3.

2. Sri M.C.Kandpal, the learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that on account of the developments during the pendency of the writ petition, the writ petition has, now, become infructuous.

3. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

4. Consequently, CLMA No. 7526 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

(J.C.S.Rawat, J) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**
20.08.2007 20.08.2007

A